

Bulletin of the Party of Labour of Iran (Toufan)

Volume 2 - No. 7

February 2026

A Note on Iran’s Recent Developments Is a Military Attack on the Way?

Iran, the region, and the world today are in a particular global situation. The imperialist world order led by the United States is undergoing change and transformation. As a result, the issue of Iran and the current crisis cannot be seriously examined in isolation from global developments.

The tactics of communists must be refined and organized in such a way that they bring them one step closer to their strategy. Mixing tactical and strategic slogans without considering which force constitutes the principal danger for war and for the peoples of the world, without considering the balance of class forces at the national and international levels, and without directing the main blow against the principal enemy of the world, is not a responsible policy.

Imperialism and Zionism in the region, based on their understanding of Iran and the information they have obtained—especially after the bloody events of January 2026, which plunged society into shock—know what weaknesses Iranian society has: the rise in the dollar exchange rate and the devaluation of the national currency, inflation and widespread dissatisfaction, the extent of the intensification of tensions within Iran’s ruling establishment, and the effects of the water and electricity crisis and others. The United States knows that the regime of the Islamic Republic is neither popular nor desirable among the people and therefore lacks a broad popular base. This is precisely the instrument of power that the Islamic Republic regime lacks for maintaining Iran’s political independence.

Donald Trump has recently stated clearly that his issue is “not the enrichment or nuclear issue. The nuclear facilities have been destroyed by U.S. air strikes.” The missile issue and the disarmament of Iran are on the agenda. In the past, they verbally recognized the legitimate right of the Iranian people—a right

Continued on page 2

Generation Z: an imported label or an Iranian reality?

Today, the term “*Generation Z*” (those born from the mid-1990s to the late 2000s) has become the refrain of social analysis in Iran. From the media to politicians, everyone speaks of a generation as if they were extraterrestrial beings with an unintelligible language and strange demands. But is it effective to use this measuring stick—one originally designed in the United States to categorize consumers (for selling iPhones and Netflix)—to analyse the condition of Iranian youth who have lived amid rising class inequality, sanctions, inflation, and the turbulent history of the Middle East?

The first critique of this classification is its disregard for *geography* and *economics*. When we talk about Generation Z, it is as if all the world’s young people live in a shared “Disneyland.” But in reality, a young person living in countries like Iran, Venezuela, or Nepal has an experience entirely different from that of an American peer.

How can we lump together a young person living in the sheltered affluence of northern Tehran—whose concern is keeping up with New York trends—with their counterpart in the outskirts of industrial cities or deprived regions, who are crushed under structural poverty and inherited unemployment? What defines this generation is not the year of birth but “access to resources”. Technology may have made their shop windows look similar, but material conditions and class divides keep them worlds apart. Therefore, insisting on this categorization is, in effect, a way of wiping the problem of inequality off the table.

Many people morally judge the new generation based on their style of dress, their music (K-pop, rap), or their slang, seeing these as signs of a decline in values. But this superficial view overlooks the fact that what is called “taste” is actually the product of the commodification of identity by global monopolistic

Continued on page 4

Imperialism, Zionism, and all their allies — hands off Iran!

Recent Development

acknowledged by all non-aligned countries of the world and by the peoples of the world—but in practice they imposed conditions on Iran that turned that right into nothing more than a toothless lion on paper, leaving it without any practical result. By bombing Iran's nuclear facilities, they showed that political negotiations and diplomatic relations are nonsense, and by carrying out military attacks during negotiations they displayed their ugly, fascistic, domineering, and colonial face.

Netanyahu's recent statements, which fundamentally do not recognize this right and brazenly speak of overthrowing the regime and partitioning Iran, are further evidence. U.S. imperialism and the Zionist Israeli regime are openly preparing for another attack on Iran by resorting to military threats. Trump, like all previous presidents, has always lied and fabricated documents; he invites the opponent to negotiate, but then takes their life through bombing and assassination.

A country that has failed to secure its economic independence despite having so many educated cadres, primary resources, and the enormous profits from oil sales not only creates the conditions for collusion between ruling factions and imperialism but also intensifies bankruptcy and the further deterioration of conditions and ultimately surrenders to imperialism and Zionism with greater disgrace, shame, and humiliation. Only a country that relies on its people can preserve its political independence: one that recognizes the rights of the people, dissolves the Guardian Council as an illegitimate body and a centre of intrigue and conspiracy, allows the activity of revolutionary parties and patriotic, Iran-loving forces, and frees labour unions. In short, it must establish democracy in Iran—the prerequisite of which is freedom for communist activity—so that the people know what they must defend against in the face of imperialist assaults.

The Islamic Republic regime, with its repressive and anti-people policies, has reached a dead end. Pressure from imperialists and Zionism, pressure from the people, and the infighting of the parasites, the corrupt, and the killers in power—who have had a hand in the massacre of the people over several decades—have created a complex situation whose trajectory will move toward securing the interests of the imperialists in Iran.

The Just Protests of the People and Foreign Intervention

While the protests of Iran's bazaar merchants—which were guild-based actions and proceeded peacefully—gradually spread to more than 30 cities, at the same time the unprecedented and brazen statements by Donald Trump regarding the United States' readiness to "take action" in the event of a crackdown on protesters became

one of the most controversial aspects of these developments.

In the early morning of January 1, 2026, Donald Trump wrote on the social network Truth Social: "If the Iranian government shoots peaceful protesters and violently kills them, which is their usual practice, the United States of America will come to their aid. We are ready and armed, and fully prepared to act."

The intelligence organization of the Zionist Israeli regime, the Mossad, also issued a direct and explicit call urging demonstrators to continue their protests and announced that it supports them "on the ground." According to Israeli Army Radio, Mossad wrote on its Persian-language account on the social network X: "Come to the streets together. The time has come. We are with you."

Reza Pahlavi (The Shah's son), likewise, with strong coverage by imperialist and Zionist media, issued a call for mass demonstrations and the overthrow of the regime, encouraging young people to take to the streets.

As we have witnessed, once again the people's demonstrations began peacefully; once again the people protested for their legitimate demands; and once again these peaceful demonstrations were driven toward violence by infiltrators and by agents of imperialism and Zionism and were suppressed by the ruling establishment in an unequal confrontation. Calling unorganized people—without organization or a program—to uprising and overthrow is as much a crime and wrongdoing as that committed by the ruling power itself. Some have spoken of a "revolution and popular uprising," while the burning of factories producing food products, attacks on shops and the destruction of food supplies, the burning of banks, mosques, and ambulances, the promotion of the slogan "Pahlavi will return", and others were a continuation of the policies of criminal U.S. imperialism and Israel aimed at chaos and the disintegration of society.

Western Imperialism and the Pursuit of Social Collapse

Western imperialism, led by the United States, is seeking to create famine in Iran and, subsequently, civil war and social collapse. Such hatred, violence, and revenge-seeking in the form of retributive punishment have no affinity whatsoever with a people's revolution aimed at changing the capitalist system and improving the lives of millions of working people. This destructive scenario, which was also implemented in Syria, was not a democratic revolution or mass movement, but rather a fascist counterrevolution of Western imperialism serving the interests of the Zionist regime and the geopolitical objectives of the filthy, blood-soaked unipolar Western order and the preservation of its hegemony. The West is searching for another "Ahmad al-Sharaa-type" alternative in Iran's geography!

Continued on page 3

Recent Development

The Iranian working class, with its class instinct, correctly identified the direction of its historic struggle and, this time as well, did not enter the scene. It knew that without organization it would be turned into cannon fodder for the bourgeoisie.

Is a Military Attack on the Way?

According to *The Washington Post*, “the continued strengthening of the U.S. military presence near Iran has been accompanied by the arrival of the aircraft carrier USS *Abraham Lincoln*; at the same time, the White House is considering the possibility of carrying out another attack.” We have repeatedly analysed that the possibility of a military attack on Iran cannot be ruled out.

Economic sanctions are a form of war: the starvation of a people as a means of conquering a besieged fortress.

U.S. imperialism, within the context of transformations in a global order in transition, sees the revival of its dominance over Iran as a means to preserve its hegemony, viewing Iran as the weakest link in the Eastern front, the Silk Road, and the encirclement of China and Russia. Therefore, a military attack on Iran must be examined within a broad political framework, the logic of geopolitics, and global developments.

The relentless propaganda of imperialist media regarding human rights violations in Iran, repression and killings, and the designation of the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps as a terrorist organization, are all aimed at creating the psychological conditions, engineering public opinion, and legitimizing a potential military attack on Iran.

The Party of Labour of Iran (Toufan) holds—and has repeatedly stated—that any political change or transformation, or the overthrow of the capitalist system of the Islamic Republic, which is a historical necessity for progressive developments in our country, must be carried out solely by the hands of the Iranian people, not by foreign aggressors. We oppose the creation of conditions similar to Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, and Syria through collaboration with a sellout opposition in Iran. A number of mercenaries who call themselves Iranian endorse a military attack by Israel and the United States on the Iran’s establishment, claiming that “it is not against Iran and the Iranian people, but against the Islamic Republic,” and that “the United States and Israel have no war or hostility with the Iranian people.” The sellout opposition of Iran has even formally asked Trump to carry out a military attack on Iran.

At best, this group is ignorant and uninformed, and they still have not understood what the goals and motives of the United States and Israel are in attacking Iran, or why these colonial powers seek the destruction not only of the Islamic Republic but of all of Iran’s infrastructure, just as they did in Iraq, Libya, Syria, and Afghanistan. U.S. imperialism is not, and will never be, seeking development

, economic growth, democracy, or human rights in Iran.

They are after Iran’s rich resources and political domination over its geopolitical position.

We must know that Iran has thousands of years of history, achievements, and cultural heritage. The lifespan of the Islamic Republic is close to five decades. Governments come and go, but Iran endures. Scientific, military, nuclear, athletic, and cultural achievements do not belong to the Islamic Republic; they are the achievements of the Iranian people and the Revolution. Only traitors—Israel’s collaborators and the West’s fifth column in Iran—by hiding behind the crimes, corruption, and theft of the Islamic Republic regime, are trying to destroy Iran. They are defenders of the state terrorism of Zionist Israel and the United States in Iran. They are conscienceless people who, to soothe the fire of their hatred, want to set Iran ablaze. They stand alongside Israel in the Zionists’ genocide in Gaza and in the killing of the Iranian people, while speaking of human rights. See how shameless these mercenaries are. Let us not leave these mercenaries in peace!

Demise

by U.S. foreign policy—instead of ending it through concessions, they would be doing Trump a great favour.

Indeed, it currently appears that the German government, in order to demonstrate “leadership” and remain the “best friend” of the United States, is striving to prove this subordinate role.

The German chancellor’s willingness to commit his “full strength” in Ukraine—to provide enormous arms funding and ultimately even send unlimited troops—not to end the confrontation with Russia but to escalate it, is certainly not an independent foreign policy. Rather, it is a policy of obediently fulfilling Trump’s wishes.

“To create a new society — a society in which human beings are masters of their own destiny, enjoy complete freedom (freedom from the yoke of nature and society), and open the path toward material and spiritual progress and advancement — it is necessary to follow the path that Marxism shows: a path based on the application of the laws of social development, a path relying on the sole revolutionary class of our era, the working class, which, whether exploiters and oppressors like it or not, is the class of the future, the creative class, the class without whose powerful hands the construction of such a society is impossible.” (Dr. Gholamhossein Foroutan)

**The salvation for the toilers is unity
and organisation!**

Generation Z

platforms.

Instagram, TikTok, and YouTube are not just entertainment tools; they are factories for *identity production*. A young person who feels ignored in the real world seeks to construct his identity through *consumption*. Algorithms decide what is “cool” and what is “uncool.” Therefore, the cultural differences we observe are not a value-based rebellion but the result of surrendering to a market that has packaged and commercialized “identity” itself.

Have human qualities such as responsibility, idealism, and patience faded in this generation? The answer lies in the *economic conditions*. Accusations like “irresponsibility,” “lack of work commitment,” and “carelessness,” which are directed at this generation, are merely a way for the creators of the current situation to deflect blame. When macro-economic policies have destroyed job security through temporary contracts, when runaway inflation has devoured the future, and when pension funds (the inheritance of this generation’s own parents) have been pushed to the brink of bankruptcy, how can we expect young people to display “traditional responsibility”?

What is interpreted as personal instability is, in fact, *forced adaptability* in the face of a predatory economic ecosystem. This generation is the offspring of structural crises. If they avoid marriage or stable jobs, it is not due to genetic change; it is a rational reaction to the total insecurity that the oligarchy dominating the economy has imposed on their lives.

In the end, what impact will this generation have on Iran’s future? The real danger lies in the possibility that this generation—just as the designers of “cognitive warfare” intend—could become foot soldiers of blind, emotional uprisings: movements that flare up with a hashtag and fade away without any material or structural achievement. But the other side of the coin is this generation’s immense potential for *realism*. If Iranian youth can remove the deceptive mask of a “global Generation Z” and understand their own historical and national realities, they can use technology not to *escape* reality but to *build* it. Iran’s future depends on whether this generation remains passive “users” of platforms, or whether they become informed, organized, and constructive “citizens” who, instead of seeking likes, pursue the transformation of the material conditions of their own lives and those of their compatriot.

Demise

At a time when the United States, on the one hand, seeks dominance over Venezuela, while on the other hand pursuing a withdrawal from the war in Ukraine, this aligns precisely with the 200-year-old doctrine. Anyone who reacts with surprise or alarm merely reveals their ignorance of the expansionist history of the United States. Such individuals, in order to justify their own self-deception, ignore this history and conceal it ideologically so that they can attribute imperial expansionist ambitions solely to Russia.

But the history of the United States is a history of expansionism. Not only in the form of the gradual acquisition of land through war, dictated peace, or territorial purchases such as Florida, Louisiana, Texas, California, Oregon, and Alaska. Rather, since 1809, also in the form of continuous interventions in neighbouring countries such as Mexico, Nicaragua, Cuba, Puerto Rico, the Dominican Republic, Honduras, Panama, Colombia, Uruguay, Argentina, Chile, and Canada.

Now Germany’s Chancellor “Merz” is stepping in “with full force”! He is even sending German troops to Ukraine. According to the ideology of “Manifest Destiny,” formulated in 1845, Americans believed they were destined to rule two continents alone. From the perspective of the anti-national and immoral delusion of “belonging to a superior nation and therefore having a special place in the world,” any means is considered legitimate, from extorting the weak to kidnapping presidents, from pirates boarding foreign merchant ships to launching armed and expansionist invasions!

What is new is that U.S. President Donald Trump is not morally elevating this 19th-century doctrine—but on the contrary.

Donald Trump openly speaks of “U.S. interests,” such as acquiring more territory, seizing natural resources, eliminating troublesome rivals, and ensuring military security in the Western Hemisphere. His arrogant bluntness should, in fact, put an end to the illusions that European allies harbour about the United States. But given the “complex” global political situation and their self-created dependence on the U.S., they hesitate and try to buy time—unaware that the European Union must decide sooner rather than later.

If the EU’s response is merely to continue the war in Ukraine—partly provoked

Continued on Page 3

Down with the child-killing Zionist regime; victory to the rightful struggle of the Palestinian people!

Trump's Retreat

is neither Venezuela nor Iraq, and that any military intervention would transform his brand from “the great negotiator” into a “war president” — with failure almost inevitable.

One of the main obstacles was the Pentagon's inability to guarantee containment of Iran's response. An attack on Iran, unlike limited operations in Syria or Venezuela, could quickly have escalated: drawing Israel into direct conflict, activating Hezbollah, and turning the Strait of Hormuz into the epicentre of a global energy crisis.

An important but less visible factor was regional diplomacy. Saudi Arabia was explicitly opposed to a war that could destabilize the entire Persian Gulf and endanger its economic projects. Qatar, with its unique relations with both Iran and the United States, played the role of a breathing channel and mediator. In particular, if Riyadh had refused to allow the use of its territory, U.S. military calculations would have been seriously disrupted.

Within this framework, diplomatic sources and senior officials from Persian Gulf countries revealed in interviews with Agence France-Presse that there had been an intense and urgent last-minute effort in the final hours to dissuade Donald Trump from launching a military attack on Iran. According to a senior Saudi official, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, and Oman led this eleventh-hour diplomacy to “give Washington an opportunity for Tehran to show signs of goodwill.”

In any case, Iran did not back down in the face of U.S. military threats and prepared itself for possible confrontation. Under such circumstances, Trump had little choice but to retreat and prepare himself for a military strike at a more suitable opportunity.

On Friday, January 16, during a brief exchange with reporters at the White House, Donald Trump, in response to a question about whether assistance for Iranian protesters was still on the way, said:

“As you know, Iran cancelled the hanging of more than 800 people. They were going to hang more than 800 people yesterday. And I am very appreciative of that fact.”

He also wrote on the afternoon of Friday, January 16, on his social media platform Truth Social: “I greatly respect the fact that all the planned executions that were supposed to take place yesterday (more than 800 of them) were cancelled by Iran's leadership. Thank you! Donald J. Trump, President of the United States of America.”

Trump also responded to a reporter's question about whether Israeli officials and Arab countries had persuaded him not to attack Iran, saying:

“No one persuaded me. I convinced myself. They were planning to execute more than 800 people yesterday. They didn't execute anyone. They cancelled the executions. It

was very impactful.”

The Reactionary Opposition in Shock

A few hours after Donald Trump ignored Reza Pahlavi, Iran's dependent opposition shifted from a position of “pleading for an attack on Iran” to one of shock and depression. For the second time in a week, Trump described Reza Pahlavi as lacking the qualities necessary to run a country, despite the considerable investment American and Zionist media outlets had made in rehabilitating his image.

In recent weeks, the reactionary opposition had openly called on the United States to carry out a military attack on Iran, arguing that change in Iran was impossible without foreign intervention. In several interviews with Persian-language media outlets allegedly affiliated with Mossad, as well as with American outlets such as Fox News, Reza Pahlavi had pleadingly urged Trump to act before it was too late.

Another sign of the opposition's confusion was the silence of most Persian-language media affiliated with them regarding Trump's stance. When BBC Persian, after ten hours, covered the U.S. president's public position against Reza Pahlavi, it faced negative reactions from monarchists.

From the perspective of the Unified Party of the Iranian Working Class, the Party of Labour of Iran (Toufan), and every democratic, independent, revolutionary, and patriotic Iranian, the liberation of the Iranian people from the domination of the capitalist system of the Islamic Republic can only be achieved through the strength of the Iranian people themselves—relying on the working masses as the principal force of transformation and development in Iran. The spirit of self-reliance must be strengthened. The struggle against the Islamic Republic, which acts as a barrier to the organization and independent formation of the working class, must advance within the framework of the interests of the broad working masses of Iran—not within the framework of imperialism and global Zionism, nor through begging for liberation from the neo-fascist government of the United States.

It is necessary both to combat inaction and public fear in the face of internal domination and repression, and to oppose the views of those who pave the way for subservience and espionage on behalf of the imperialist system—the largest state sponsor of terrorism in the world—while simultaneously presenting themselves as “democratic and freedom-loving.”

Forward with reliance on the power of workers and labourers!

Comrade Dariush

chose to leave them.

He found a clear path for his commitment to the people in the Marxist-Leninist movement and the vanguard party of the Iranian working class, the Party of Labour of Iran (Toufan). In the winter of 1979, he became actively involved with the Party, earned his high school diploma that same year, and devoted himself to student activism. Those who met him were immediately struck by his passionate heart and burning anger at injustice. When spoke of the Party and the struggle for social change, every gesture, every movement of his hands, harmonised with his commanding presence, captivating all who listened. Comrades who met him at a summer camp recalled: “We always thought that passionate individuals like Samad and Gol-Sorkhi would never appear again, but even the smallest gesture by Dariush revives their memory. Our Party should be proud to have comrades like him.” Comrade Dariush was uncompromising in his principles and remarkably steadfast. He could spend hours debating with a comrade about the preservation of the Party’s principles and core values. It wasn’t long after his work with the Party that he became recognised among his peers as one of the most talented individuals, a comrade willing to dedicate his life to the Revolution.

He had lively, humorous, and engaging personality—conversations with him never grew tiresome. Tireless in accepting responsibilities and addressing the Party’s weaknesses, it would not be an exaggeration to say that many of the Party’s initiatives were completed because of his efforts. His passionate heart and deep empathy for the masses also gave him a natural talent for poetry and painting, capturing society’s pains. He had excellent handwriting, and perhaps more importantly, he excelled in grassroots work. All these qualities led to his proud induction into the Party of Labour of Iran (Toufan) in 1980. He always said: “The greatest moments of my life were the days I became acquainted with the Party and became a member.”

Yet when reactionary forces sought to arrest the most valuable leaders of the people, Comrade Dariush fell into their hands. Even in prison, his spirit remained unbroken. Other comrades said: “No regime can cage such a great spirit in a small cell.” From the very beginning, he knew he must prepare for an honourable death and advised his comrades: “It does not matter if we are imprisoned. What matters is that our Party continues its work outside. Do not let your thoughts dwell on us; focus on your tasks. Stand firm, for we have stood.”

His final message from prison was the red smile he wore at the moment of his execution — a smile that promised

certain victory for tomorrow to the workers, peasants, and all his comrades. The regime claimed the life of Comrade Dariush in August 1981, but his memory will not rest in the soil: it lives in the hearts of his fellow comrades and the thousands of labourers who honour his struggle. Comrade Dariush became the beating, wounded heart of oppressed and impoverished Iran, yet he never faltered in his work.

His memory is eternal, and his path endures!



Venezuela, possessing 25 percent of the world’s proven oil reserves is the largest exporter of heavy crude oil to American refineries. The country’s current production is around one million barrels per day, but it has the potential to return to three million barrels per day.

However, the complaint and anger of the United States is that Maduro, by signing exclusive contracts with China, Iran, and Russia, has directed Venezuela’s oil flow toward America’s rivals. For example, in August 2025, China installed its first floating oil platform in Lake Maracaibo.

One U.S. motive in Venezuela is that overthrowing Maduro could guarantee American companies such as Chevron access to these resources, reduce exports to China, and decrease U.S. dependence on Middle Eastern oil.

Before the extensive U.S. sanctions in 2017, Venezuela was the third-largest exporter of crude oil to the United States and supplied more than 15 percent of U.S. oil imports. John Bolton, Trump’s former National Security Advisor, explicitly stated: “Investment by American companies in Venezuela’s oil will have a tremendous economic impact on the United States.”

Venezuela also holds significant gold reserves (161 tons reported by the World Gold Council [WGC], 2024) and has enormous mining potential in the “Orinoco Arc,” where official Venezuelan reports estimate the value of strategic minerals—including coltan and rare earth elements—at up to \$2 trillion (OPEC, 2024; WGC, 2024).

In fact, what American imperialism seeks is to subdue Venezuela, just as it previously did with Iraq, Libya, and Syria, in order to secure access to oil and mineral wealth and establish a client government. The imperialist slogan “Make America Great Again” is nothing but war, sanctions, and the plundering of independent countries.

It is often argued that rival countries could use Venezuela to expand their military, economic, and intelligence influence in the region. From the perspective of American strategists, overthrowing Maduro would deliver a multifaceted blow to forces hostile to the United States.

Workers in Iran must have the fundamental right to form labour unions!



Comrade Dariush Ansari: A Life of Courage and Dedication

Comrade Dariush Ansari's journey of activism began long before the 1979 Revolution, with his early participation in strikes that challenged the existing order. As a student at Bojnord Industrial High School, he played a central role in organising demonstrations, showing from a young age an unwavering commitment to justice and social change.

In the early days following the Revolution, Comrade Ansari dedicated himself to protecting the Revolution's hard-won achievements. He worked with the Construction Jihad, helped oversee city affairs, and contributed wherever he could to safeguard the Revolution's ideals. He always spoke of these experiences with pride: *"I never gave in to their divisive policies."* Truly, he was someone who wanted to serve the people. When confronted with the inaction of certain institutions, their efforts to uphold the ruling system, and the narrow-mindedness and faint sparks of oppression he witnessed, he

Continued on Page 6

The Demise of a 200-Year-Old Lie! - U.S. foreign policy celebrates the Monroe Doctrine

In October 1823, a little over 200 years ago, Thomas Jefferson, former President of the United States and one of its Founding Fathers, wrote his foreign policy testament to his successor, James Monroe:

"Our first and fundamental maxim should be: never to entangle ourselves in the broils of Europe. Our second should be: never to suffer Europe to intermeddle with affairs on this side of the Atlantic"

Shortly thereafter, on December 2, 1823, Monroe proclaimed these principles in an address to the Nation, which later became known as the "Monroe Doctrine."

In the view of Europeans, this doctrine remains the most influential presidential declaration on U.S. foreign policy to this day. Although it was never formally approved by Congress, it has shaped the foreign policy of all U.S. administrations—albeit with minor modifications.

In fact, this exaggeration of the Monroe Doctrine primarily reveals the greatest self-deception of America's European supporters. For the United States has always adhered to only one part of the doctrine.

It claimed—and still claims—a monopoly over interventions of any kind in North, Central, and South America, and regards any foreign interference there as a hostile act!

In contrast, the promise of non-interference in European affairs was falsely made.

Continued Page 4

The Reason for Trump's Retreat and the Shock of Iran's Subservient Opposition

Donald Trump's decision to halt or postpone a military threat against Iran, if not the result of personal hesitation or political retreat, may instead reflect a tactical calculation and an effort to avoid heavy financial and military costs.

On Wednesday night, January 14, a series of developments on the ground suggested that the region was nearing the brink of confrontation: reports emerged of the temporary closure of Iran's airspace and the partial evacuation of Iraqi airspace; U.S. bases in Qatar were placed on alert; some forces were repositioned; and several European countries urged their citizens to leave Iran. The British embassy also suspended its operations. For at least a few hours, the regional atmosphere resembled the prelude to an imminent attack.

Experiences in Iraq, Libya, Syria, and Afghanistan have shown that overthrowing governments opposed to the United States from the outside not only fails to lead to democracy but often results in state collapse and pushes society toward **civil war, radicalism, and chronic instability. Iran, with its complex ethnic, religious, and ideological structure and its cohesive, organized military force, presents an even more intricate case.** The United States understands the sudden collapse of Iran's government could produce chaos far more dangerous than what followed in Iraq, Afghanistan, Syria, or Libya. Its European allies are likewise concerned about the possibility of a new wave of refugees if Iran were to collapse suddenly and uncontrollably.

He appears to be seeking a "clean win" scenario. Pentagon generals likely warned him that Iran

Continued on Page 5

Regime change in Iran—only through the organized and unified power of the people!